

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/829,592	(04/21/2004	Michael D. Goodner	P18237	6739
59796	7590	06/22/2006		EXAMINER	
INTEL CO			GURLEY, LYNNE ANN		
c/o INTELLEVATE, LLC P.O. BOX 52050				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MINNEAPO		55402	2812		
				DATE MAILED: 06/22/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				E)			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Office Assis a Communication	10/829,592	GOODNER ET AL.				
(Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Lynne A. Gurley	2812				
The Period for Re	ne MAILING DATE of this communication app aply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	·ss			
WHICHE - Extensions after SIX (6 - If NO perio - Failure to r Any reply r	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY VER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAY OF time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 of MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In the decired above, the maximum statutory period we ply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eceived by the Office later than three months after the mailing ent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠ Res	sponsive to communication(s) filed on 29 M	arch 2006					
· <u> </u>		action is non-final.					
<i>'</i> =	'		secution as to the m	erits is			
•—	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of	of Claims						
	im(s) <u>1-9,20 and 22-26</u> is/are pending in the	annlication					
•	Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			;			
•	im(s) is/are allowed.						
· <u></u>	im(s) <u>1-9, 20 and 22-26</u> is/are rejected.						
	im(s) is/are objected to.						
8) <u></u> Cla	im(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application I	Papers						
9) <u></u> The	specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
•	drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.				
Арр	licant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∋ 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Rep	placement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	jected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).			
11) <u></u> The	oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-	152.			
Priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119						
_	nowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 LLS C & 110(a)	\ (d\ or (f\				
a)	<u> </u>	priority under 55 0.5.C. § 119(a)	-(u) or (i).				
م الله 1.	7	s have been received					
2.			on No.				
3.	_	, ,		age			
_	application from the International Bureau	·		J -			
* See t	the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.	0			
			grand Sur	ey			
			LYNNE A. GURLEY RIMARY PATENT EXA	MINER .			
Attachmant/s\		PI	TC 2800, AU 2812				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of F	References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	•				
2) Notice of [Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
	n Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) s/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-15	i2)			

Application/Control Number: 10/829,592

Art Unit: 2812

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to the amendment filed 3/29/06.

Currently, claims 1-9 and 20, 22-23 and 24-26 (new) are pending.

Specification

1. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 3-8 and 20-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Quek et al. (US 6,252,290, dated 6/26/01 in the IDS submitted 7/21/05).
- 4. Quek shows the method as claimed in figures 1-8 and corresponding text, as forming a first via dielectric layer 12 on a substrate 10; patterning the first via dielectric layer to form a via through the first via dielectric layer 12a; forming a photosensitive trench dielectric layer 14 on the first via dielectric layer; patterning the photosensitive trench dielectric layer to form a trench through the photosensitive trench dielectric layer 14a; depositing a conductive material 22 in the via and the trench; forming a top layer 42 on the photosensitive trench layer; and decomposing,

Art Unit: 2812

at least partially, the photosensitive trench dielectric layer, decomposed material from the photosensitive trench dielectric layer passing through the top layer (figs. 5-6).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 2812

8. Claims 2 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Quek et al. (US 6,252,290, dated 6/26/01 in the IDS submitted 7/21/05).

Quek shows the method substantially as claimed and as described in the previous paragraphs.

Quek lacks anticipation only in not teaching that the decomposition comprises heating the photosensitive trench dielectric layer between 180-400 degrees; and that a conductor seed layer and cap layer are formed.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have had the decomposition comprise heating the photosensitive trench dielectric layer between 180-400 degrees C; and to have formed a conductor seed layer and cap layer, in the method of Quek, with the motivation that the photosensitive trench layer is already thermally cured to about 100 degrees C to drive out solvents, which indicates that there is some thermal decomposition by evaporation of the solvent, which would only increase at temperatures of about 180; and, with the motivation that a seed layer and cap layer are conventional in interconnect fabrication, increasing reliability, adhesion and passivation in the interconnect.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed 3/29/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to Applicant's remarks, the word "substantially" is a broad term and figure 6 shows that a substantial amount of the patterned first via dielectric remains after the decomposition step.

Art Unit: 2812

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lynne A. Gurley whose telephone number is 571-272-1670. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Lebentritt can be reached on 571-272-1873. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2812

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lynne A. Gurley

Primary Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2812

LAG

June 11, 2006